

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,908	01/30/2006	Akio Wakabayashi	09-278-US	6878	
20305 7550 MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP 300 S. WACKER DRIVE 32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			ANDERSON, MICHAEL J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3767			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/26/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/517,908		WAKABAYASHI, AKIO	
		Art Unit	
MICHAEL J. ANDERSON		3767	
	×		

This is in response to the	Pro-Anneal Brief Dec	uset for Daview filed	2 November 2010

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 2 Nove	ember 2010.
 Improper Request – The I reason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference	will not be held for the following
The request does not inc	s not been filed concurrent with the Pre lude reasons why a review is appropri is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief re	ate.
	nse continues to run from the receipt c immunication, if no Notice of Appeal h	
held. The application remains un is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one mont running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pr der appeal because there is at least o riefi in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. I from mailing this decision, or the bal titce of appeal, whichever is greater. F 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail dat able.	ne actual issue for appeal. Applicant The time period for filing an appeal ance of the two-month time period urther, the time period for filing of th
⊠ The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: □ Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 32-46 Claim(s) withdrawn from co	ed the status of the claim(s) is as follo . nsideration:	ws:
	conference has been held. The reject ution on the merits remains closed. N	
	conference has been held. The rejection action is required by applicant at this t	
All participants:		
(1) MICHAEL J. ANDERSON.	(3) Michael Phi	llips.
(2) Kevin Sirmons.	(4)	
/Michael J Anderson/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767	/KEVIN C. SIRMONS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767	/Michael Phillips/ RQAS